



Overview of Chapter 7

Chapter 7 : Point Estimation

- 1 7.1 General Concepts and Criteria
- 2 7.2 Methods of Point Estimation
- 3 7.3 Sufficiency
- 4 7.4 Information and Efficiency

In short, the goal of chapter 7 is to learn how to find and evaluate point estimators.



Chapter 7 - Lecture 1

General concepts and criteria

Yuan Huang

January 25, 2013



- 1 General concepts
- 2 Criteria to evaluate estimators
 - Unbiased estimators
 - Minimum Variance Unbiased Estimators
 - Mean Square error
- 3 Homework for this session



Parameter versus Estimator

Parameter refers to a value that represents the whole population.

Examples:

- 1 Binary variable (Smoke or not, Female or Male) : Bernoulli(p);
- 2 Number of customers: Poisson (λ);
- 3 Waiting time for a bus: exponential (λ);
- 4 Many other cases: height, $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$;



Parameter versus Estimator

However, parameters are usually unknown. We need to estimate them ! (How?)

Examples:

- 1 Bernoulli(p): \hat{p} = sample proportion;
- 2 $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$: \bar{X}_n and S^2 ;



Definitions

- A **point estimate** of parameter θ is a single number that can be regarded as a sensible value for θ .
- A point estimate is obtained by selecting a suitable statistic and computing its value from the given data. The selected statistic is called the **point estimator** of θ .
- Both the point estimate and point estimator of a parameter θ are denoted with $\hat{\theta}$.



In these cases we give a number as an estimate, called **point estimate**. In terms of random variables, it's called a **point estimator**. An alternative is **interval estimator**, expressed by **confidence intervals**.(will be discussed in Chapter 8.)



In these cases we give a number as an estimate, called **point estimate**. In terms of random variables, it's called a **point estimator**. An alternative is **interval estimator**, expressed by **confidence intervals**.(will be discussed in Chapter 8.)

- 1 Estimator: random variables, before you see the data;
- 2 Estimate: numbers, after you see the data;



Example 1. If we are interested in the proportion of left-eye flounders p . We get a random sample X_1, \dots, X_{10} . Let $X = 1$ if the fish is left-eye and $X = 0$ if the fish is not left-eye. Please give a point estimator of p .



Example 1. If we are interested in the proportion of left-eye flounders p . We get a random sample X_1, \dots, X_{10} . Let $X = 1$ if the fish is left-eye and $X = 0$ if the fish is not left-eye. Please give a point estimator of p .

- parameter : p
- point estimator: $\hat{p} = \text{sample proportion} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{10} X_i}{10}$



Example 1. If we are interested in the proportion of left-eye flounders p . We get a random sample X_1, \dots, X_{10} . Let $X = 1$ if the fish is left-eye and $X = 0$ if the fish is not left-eye. Please give a point estimator of p .

- parameter : p
- point estimator: $\hat{p} = \text{sample proportion} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{10} X_i}{10}$

If the data we collect have values 0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0. Please give a point estimate of p .



Example 1. If we are interested in the proportion of left-eye flounders p . We get a random sample X_1, \dots, X_{10} . Let $X = 1$ if the fish is left-eye and $X = 0$ if the fish is not left-eye. Please give a point estimator of p .

- parameter : p
- point estimator: $\hat{p} = \text{sample proportion} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{10} X_i}{10}$

If the data we collect have values 0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0. Please give a point estimate of p .

- point estimate: $\hat{p} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{10} X_i}{10} = 0.4$



Example 2. If the distribution of a population is $N(\mu, 1^2)$, and you've collected a sample of size 5: X_1, \dots, X_5 . Please give a point estimator of μ .



Example 2. If the distribution of a population is $N(\mu, 1^2)$, and you've collected a sample of size 5: X_1, \dots, X_5 . Please give a point estimator of μ .

- parameter : μ
- point estimator: $\hat{\mu} = \text{sample mean} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^5 X_i}{5}$



Example 2. If the distribution of a population is $N(\mu, 1^2)$, and you've collected a sample of size 5: X_1, \dots, X_5 . Please give a point estimator of μ .

- parameter : μ
- point estimator: $\hat{\mu} = \text{sample mean} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^5 X_i}{5}$

After you see the data: 0.347, 1.004, 1.189, 0.032, 2.012. Give a point estimate of μ .



Example 2. If the distribution of a population is $N(\mu, 1^2)$, and you've collected a sample of size 5: X_1, \dots, X_5 . Please give a point estimator of μ .

- parameter : μ
- point estimator: $\hat{\mu} = \text{sample mean} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^5 X_i}{5}$

After you see the data: 0.347, 1.004, 1.189, 0.032, 2.012. Give a point estimate of μ .

- point estimate: $\hat{\mu} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^5 X_i}{5} = 0.9168$



Common Criteria includes:

- 1 Unbiasedness;
- 2 Minimum Variance among unbiased estimators;
- 3 Minimum Mean Square Error (MSE);



Principle of Unbiased Estimation

bias of the point estimator $\hat{\theta}$:

$$E(\hat{\theta}) - \theta$$

A point estimator $\hat{\theta}$ is said to be an **unbiased estimator** of θ if:

$$E(\hat{\theta}) = \theta$$



Principle of Unbiased Estimation

bias of the point estimator $\hat{\theta}$:

$$E(\hat{\theta}) - \theta$$

A point estimator $\hat{\theta}$ is said to be an **unbiased estimator** of θ if:

$$E(\hat{\theta}) = \theta$$

Principle of Unbiased Estimation: To select those unbiased estimators.



Example 1. \bar{X} is an unbiased estimator of μ and S^2 is an unbiased estimator of σ^2 , under any distribution. (Example 7.6 for both editions)

- 1 You already did the proof for Hw2 #50
- 2 How about for general distribution?



Proposition

Proposition

If the distribution is **continuous and symmetric**, then the median and the trimmed mean are also unbiased estimators of μ .

Comments: The above shows that unbiased estimators are not unique! If we have several unbiased estimators, which one is better?



Principle of Minimum Variance Unbiased Estimator (MVUE)

Among all estimators $\hat{\theta}$ that are unbiased we choose the one with the minimum variance. This estimator is called **minimum variance unbiased estimator (MVUE)** of θ .



Example of MVUE

Theorem

If X_1, \dots, X_n is a random sample from a normal distribution with parameters μ and σ^2 ($X_i \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$). Then the estimator $\hat{\mu} = \bar{X}$ is the MVUE for μ .



Example 7.7 both editions.

Given X_1, \dots, X_n is random sample from uniform $U(0, \theta)$. In order to estimate θ , there are two sensible estimators:

- 1 $\hat{\theta}_1 = 2\bar{X}$
- 2 $\hat{\theta}_2 = \frac{n+1}{n} \max\{X_1, \dots, X_n\}$

It can be shown that both $\hat{\theta}_1$ and $\hat{\theta}_2$ are unbiased estimators for θ . However,

$$V(\hat{\theta}_1) = \frac{\theta^2}{3n} \qquad V(\hat{\theta}_2) = \frac{\theta^2}{n(n+2)}$$

As long as sample size $n > 1$, $V(\hat{\theta}_1) > V(\hat{\theta}_2)$, hence by MVUE, $\hat{\theta}_2$ is preferable.



Principle of Minimum MSE

Usually unbiased estimators are preferred and we try to find minimum variance estimator. However,



Principle of Minimum MSE

Usually unbiased estimators are preferred and we try to find minimum variance estimator. However,

- 1 Many biased estimators are still accurate;
- 2 Many unbiased estimators are not efficient - variance is too large.



Principle of Minimum MSE

Usually unbiased estimators are preferred and we try to find minimum variance estimator. However,

- 1 Many biased estimators are still accurate;
- 2 Many unbiased estimators are not efficient - variance is too large.

Mean Square Error $MSE(\hat{\theta}) = V(\hat{\theta}) + Bias(\hat{\theta})^2 = E(\hat{\theta} - \theta)^2$.

- MSE of an estimator is small if and only if both the variance and the bias is small.
- Hence the Principle of Minimum MSE is a trade off between bias and accuracy.
- When $\hat{\theta}$ is unbiased, MSE is the same as variance.



Homework for this session

HW 3: Due Feb 1

- Reading: Book session (1st) P326-335 ; (2nd) P332-342 (before More complications)
- Review problems in Hw1 and Qz1.
- Hand-in:
 - (1st) Page 342 8,10 ; (2nd) Page 348 8, 10
 - Redo a self-selected question which you did not get full score in Hw1. If you have full score in Hw1, you can skip this.
 - Given Normal distribution $N(\mu, 1)$, we want to estimate the μ . Suppose we get the random sample denoted X_1, \dots, X_n . Both X_1 and \bar{X} are sensible estimators for μ . Which one is preferable? What criterion do you use?